

Special unipotent representations
of real classical groups
and theta correspondence

Ma, Jia-Jun

(joint with Dan Barbasch, Binyong Sun and Chengbo Zhu)

Classical groups and special unipotent representations

| | G | \mathbf{G} | \mathbf{G}^\vee | |
|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| D_n | $O(p, 2n - p)$ | $O(2n, \mathbb{C})$ | $O(2n, \mathbb{C})$ | D_n |
| C_n | $Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$ | $Sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$ | $SO(2n + 1, \mathbb{C})$ | B_n |
| B_n | $O(p, 2n + 1 - p)$ | $O(2n + 1, \mathbb{C})$ | $Sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$ | C_n |
| \tilde{C}_n | $Mp(2n, \mathbb{R})$ | $Sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$ | $Sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$ | C_n |
| D_n | $O^*(n)$ | $SO(2n, \mathbb{C})$ | $SO(2n, \mathbb{C})$ | D_n |
| C_n | $Sp(p, n - p)$ | $Sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$ | $SO(2n + 1, \mathbb{C})$ | B_n |
| A_n | $U(p, n - p)$ | $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ | $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ | A_n |
| A_m | $U(r, m - r)$ | $GL(m, \mathbb{C})$ | $GL(m, \mathbb{C})$ | A_m |

Theorem (Barbasch-M.-Sun-Zhu)

Arthur-Barbasch-Vogan's conj. on special unipotent repn. holds for G :
 All *special unipotent representations* of G are *unitarizable*.

Barbasch-Vogan's definition of unipotent representation

G : a real reductive group.

Nilpotent orbit $\check{\mathcal{O}}$ in \mathbf{G}^\vee .

$\rightsquigarrow \varphi: \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^\vee$ (Jacobson-Morozov)

\rightsquigarrow an infinitesimal character $d\varphi\left(\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \leftrightarrow \lambda_{\check{\mathcal{O}}^\vee}$

\rightsquigarrow the maximal primitive ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\check{\mathcal{O}}}$ with inf. char. $\lambda_{\check{\mathcal{O}}}$

■ *Definition* (Barbasch-Vogan):

An irr. admissible G -repn. is called *special unipotent* if

$$\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})}(\pi) = \mathcal{I}_{\check{\mathcal{O}}}.$$

$\iff \pi$ has inf. char. $\lambda_{\check{\mathcal{O}}}$ and $\mathrm{AV}_{\mathbb{C}}(\pi) = \overline{\check{\mathcal{O}}}$

■ $\check{\mathcal{O}}$: the Lusztig-Spaltenstein-Barbasch-Vogan dual of $\check{\mathcal{O}}$, which is a *(metaplectic) special nilpotent orbit*.

■ $\mathrm{Unip}_{\check{\mathcal{O}}}(G) := \{ \text{special unipotent repn. attached to } \check{\mathcal{O}} \}$.

Conjecture/Open problems

- *Major open problem:* Classify the **unitary dual** of a reductive group:

$$\widehat{G}_{\text{unitary}} = \{ \text{irr. unitary repr. of } G \}.$$

- *Philosophy:* $\text{Unip}(G) =$ the **building blocks** of the unitary dual.
- *Conjecture:* $\text{Unip}_{\mathcal{O}}(G)$ consists of **unitary** representations.
- **Question:** How many elements are there in $\text{Unip}_{\mathcal{O}}(G)$?
- **Question:** How to construct elements in $\text{Unip}_{\mathcal{O}}(G)$?
- *Barbasch-Vogan* 1985: Complete classification of unipotent repr. of **complex reductive groups**.
- Vogan 1986: Classify the unitary dual of $GL(n)$.
- Barbasch 1989: Classify the unitary dual of **complex classical groups**.
- *Atlas of Lie group:* \rightsquigarrow complete answer for exceptional groups.

Counting (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module with a particular asso. variety

- Fix regular inf. char. $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*/W$
- integral Weyl group

$$W(\lambda) := \{ w \in W \mid \langle \lambda - w\lambda, \check{\alpha} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall \alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) \}$$

Double cell \mathcal{D} in $\widehat{W(\lambda)}$ \longleftrightarrow the specail repr. $\tau_0 \in \mathcal{D}$
 \longrightarrow truncated induction $J_{W(\lambda)}^W \tau_0$

$\xrightarrow{\text{Springer corr.}} \mathcal{O}$.

- Let $\mu \in \lambda + X^*$ (X^* is the weight lattice),

$$W_\mu = \{ w \in W \mid w \cdot \mu = \mu \}.$$

- $\mathcal{G}_\lambda(\mathfrak{g}, K)$: the Groth. gp. of (\mathfrak{g}, K) -modules with inf. char. λ .
- **Lemma:**

$$\begin{aligned} & \# \{ \pi \in \text{Irr}_\mu(\mathfrak{g}, K)(G) \mid \text{AV}_{\mathbb{C}}(\pi) = \overline{\mathcal{O}} \} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\tau \in \mathcal{D} \\ \mathcal{D} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{O}}} [\tau : 1_{W_\mu}] \cdot [\tau : \mathcal{G}_\lambda(\mathfrak{g}, K)] \end{aligned}$$

Counting unipotent representations I

- Example: $G = \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$
- $\lambda_{\check{\mathcal{O}}} \in \rho(G) + X^*$
 \rightsquigarrow special representation $\tau \leftrightarrow \mathcal{O}$
- $\mathcal{G}_\rho(G)$: the Groth. gp. of (\mathfrak{g}, K) -modules with inf. char. ρ .

$$\#\mathrm{Unip}_{\mathcal{O}^\vee}(G) = 2^l \cdot [\tau : \mathcal{G}_\rho(G)]$$


$$W(\mathrm{Sp}(2n)) = S_n \times \{\pm 1\}^n,$$

$$\mathcal{G}_\rho(\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})) = \sum_{\substack{p, q, t, s, \\ \sigma \in \widehat{S}_s}} \mathrm{Ind}_{S_t \times W_{2s} \times W_p \times W_q}^{W_n} \mathrm{sgn} \otimes (\sigma \times \sigma) \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}.$$


- $[\tau : 1_{W_{\lambda_{\check{\mathcal{O}}}}}] = 1$.
- $[\tau : \mathcal{G}_\rho(G)]$ is counted by painted bi-partitions $\mathrm{PBP}(\check{\mathcal{O}})$.

Example of PBP

$$\check{O} = [7, 5, 5, 5, 3, 1, 1] =$$




$\text{PBP}_{\check{O}}(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$



⋮

\mapsto

Associated cycle



⋮

Nilpotent orbits with “good/bad parity”



- Bad parity (must occur with even multiplicity in $\check{\mathcal{O}}$):

$$\begin{cases} \text{even number,} & \text{when } \mathbf{G}^\vee \text{ is type } B \text{ or } D \\ \text{odd number,} & \text{when } \mathbf{G}^\vee \text{ is type } C \end{cases}$$

- $\check{\mathcal{O}}$ has “good parity” if $\check{\mathcal{O}}$ only contains

$$\begin{cases} \text{odd rows,} & \text{when } \mathbf{G}^\vee \text{ is type } B \text{ or } D \\ \text{even rows,} & \text{when } \mathbf{G}^\vee \text{ is type } C \end{cases}$$

- $\lambda_{\check{\mathcal{O}}}$ is integral.
- Example of good parity:



Reduction to the “good parity”

- Consider $G = \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$.
- \check{O} decompose into two parts \check{O}_g (good parity) and \check{O}_b (bad parity).
- Assume $\check{O}_b = \{r_1, r_1, \dots, r_k, r_k\}$.









Theorem (Let $\check{O}'_b = \{r_1, \dots, r_k\} \in \mathrm{Nil}_{\mathrm{GL}}$.)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Unip}_{\check{O}'_b}(\mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{R}}) \times \mathrm{Unip}_{\check{O}_g}(\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbb{R}}) & \xrightarrow{1-1} & \mathrm{Unip}_{\check{O}}(\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbb{R}}) \\ (\pi', \pi_0) & \mapsto & \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{GL}(|\check{O}'_b|, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{Sp}(2n_0, \mathbb{R}) \times U}^{\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})} \pi' \otimes \pi_0 \end{array}$$

$$\mathrm{Unip}_{\check{O}'_b}(\mathrm{GL}) = \left\{ \mathrm{Ind}_{j=1}^k \otimes \mathrm{sgn}_{\mathrm{GL}(r_j, \mathbb{R})}^{\epsilon_j} \mid \epsilon_j \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

- Use *theta correspondence* to construct $\mathrm{Unip}_{\check{O}_g}(G)$.
- We assume \check{O} has **good parity** from now on.

Example of descent sequences

| | | | | |
|----------------------|---|---|---|---|
| \mathbf{G}_i^\vee | $\mathrm{SO}(15, \mathbb{C})$ | $\mathrm{O}(10, \mathbb{C})$ | $\mathrm{SO}(7, \mathbb{C})$ | $\mathrm{O}(4, \mathbb{C})$ |
| \mathcal{O}_i^\vee |  |  |  |  |
| \mathcal{O}_i |  |  |  |  |
| \mathbf{G}_i | $\mathrm{Sp}(14, \mathbb{C})$ | $\mathrm{O}(10, \mathbb{C})$ | $\mathrm{Sp}(6, \mathbb{C})$ | $\mathrm{O}(4, \mathbb{C})$ |

[Kraft-Procesi's](#) resolution of singularities of the closure of complex nilpotent orbits.

Descent of nilpotent orbits: $G = \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$

- Take $\check{\mathcal{O}} \in \mathrm{Nil}^{gp}(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)$ (nilpotent orbits with good parity).

- Descent sequence on the dual side:

$$\mathcal{O}^\vee = \mathcal{O}_{2a}^\vee \quad \mathcal{O}_{2a-1}^\vee \quad \cdots \quad \mathcal{O}_0^\vee$$









$\mathcal{O}_i^\vee =$ removing the first rows of \mathcal{O}_{i+1}^\vee .

- Descent sequence of real classical groups:

$$G = G_{2a} \quad G_{2a-1} \quad \cdots \quad G_0$$

- G_{2k} is a symplectic group
allow $G_0 = \mathrm{Sp}(0, \mathbb{R}) =$ the trivial group.
 - $G_{2k-1} = \mathrm{O}(p_k, q_k)$
 - \mathcal{O}_i^\vee is nilpotent orbit of \mathbf{G}_i^\vee
- (G_i, G_{i-1}) forms a reductive dual pair.
 - $\mathcal{O}_i =$ delete the first col. of \mathcal{O}_{i+1} and may add one box back.

Example of descent sequences

| | | | | |
|----------------------|---|---|---|---|
| \mathbf{G}_i^\vee | $\mathrm{SO}(15, \mathbb{C})$ | $\mathrm{O}(10, \mathbb{C})$ | $\mathrm{SO}(7, \mathbb{C})$ | $\mathrm{O}(4, \mathbb{C})$ |
| \mathcal{O}_i^\vee |  |  |  |  |
| \mathcal{O}_i |  |  |  |  |
| G_i | $\mathrm{Sp}(14, \mathbb{R})$ | $\mathrm{O}(4, 6)$ | $\mathrm{Sp}(6, \mathbb{R})$ | $\mathrm{O}(2, 2)$ |

Ohta's resolution of singularities of a nilpotent orbit closure in symmetric pairs.

Construction of elements in $\text{Unip}_{\check{O}}(G)$

- $\chi = \bigotimes_{j=0}^{2a} \chi_j$, a 1-dim repn. of $\prod_{j=0}^{2a} G_j$.
- $\chi_j \in \{\mathbf{1}, \text{sgn}^{+,-}, \text{sgn}^{-,+}, \det\}$
- Define a smooth repn. of $G = G_{2a}$ (the symplectic group).

$$\pi_\chi := (\omega_{G_{2a}, G_{2a-1}} \widehat{\otimes} \omega_{G_{2a-1}, G_{2a-2}} \widehat{\otimes} \cdots \widehat{\otimes} \omega_{G_1, G_0} \otimes \chi)_{G_{2a-1} \times G_{2a-2} \times \cdots \times G_0}$$

Theorem (Barbasch-M.-Sun-Zhu)

Let \check{O}^\vee be an orbit with good parity. Then


- either $\pi_\chi = 0$ or
- $\pi_\chi \in \text{Unip}_{\check{O}}(G)$ and *unitarizable*.
- Moreover,

$$\text{Unip}_{\check{O}^\vee}(G) = \{\pi_\chi \mid \pi_\chi \neq 0\}.$$

Example: Coincidences of theta lifting

Lift to $G = \mathrm{Sp}(6, \mathbb{R})$ from real forms of $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{O}(4, \mathbb{C})$.

$\check{\mathcal{O}} = 3^2 1^1$ and $\mathcal{O} = 2^3$.




Some comments

- Many people have studied the problem
Adams, Barbasch, He, Huang, Li, Loke, Mœglin, Paul, Przebinda, Trapa,
- **Unitarity:**
 - Estimate of matrix coefficients using the explicit realization of the Weil representations.
Work of **Li, He**, and an idea of **Harris-Li-Sun** showing the **nonnegativity** of a matrix coefficient integral.
- **non-vanishing** and compute **associated cycle**:
 - **Geometry:** moment maps provide the upper bound.
 - **Analysis:** degenerate principal series force the lower bound.
 - **Geometry meets Analysis:** the equality.
- **Exhaustion:** Combinatorics (**recent breakthrough!**)
- **Corollary:** (using [Gomez-Zhu]) For π_χ ,

Whittaker cycle = Wavefront cycle.

Associated cycle formula I

- Example $(G, G') = (\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}), \mathrm{O}(p, q))$



- $\overline{\mathcal{O}} \cap \mathfrak{p} \supset \varphi(\varphi'^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}' \cap \mathcal{O}'))$ where \mathcal{O} is a cplx. nil. \mathbf{G} -orbit.
- **Upper bound** of associated cycle: we can define

$$\vartheta^{\mathrm{geo}} : \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{O}'}(G') \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{O}}(G)$$

such that

$$\mathrm{AC}(\Theta(\pi')) \preceq \vartheta^{\mathrm{geo}}(\mathrm{AC}(\pi')),$$

for any π' with $\mathrm{AV}(\pi') \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}'}$

Associated cycle formula II

- Recall $(G, G') = (\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}), \mathrm{O}(p, q))$
- For $\mathcal{L}' \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{O}'}(G')$, $\mathcal{L} = \vartheta(\mathcal{L}') \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{O}}(G)$,

$$\mathcal{L}_X = \vartheta_T(\mathcal{L}_{X'}) := \det^{(p-q)/2}|_{K_X} \otimes (\mathcal{L}'_{X'})^{K'_{2,X'}} \circ \alpha,$$

$\alpha: K_X \rightarrow K'_{1,X'}$: a homomorphism between isotropic subgroups.

- The twisting is **crucial**.
 \Rightarrow **admissible orbit data** \rightsquigarrow **admissible orbit data**.
- Support of $\vartheta(\mathcal{L}')$ could be reducible.
- Stable range lifting trick: Suppose $n > p + q$.

$$\bigcup_{p,q} \mathrm{Unip}_{\mathcal{O}'\vee}(\mathrm{O}(p, q)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Unip}_{\mathcal{O}\vee}(\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$$

Matching unipotent representations with PBP

- $\text{PBP}(\check{\mathcal{O}})$ is complicate.
- $\text{LS}(\check{\mathcal{O}}) = \{ \text{AC}(\pi_\chi) \}$ is also complicate.
- **Proof of Exhaustion**

Define descent of painted bi-partitions,
compatible with the theta lifting!

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{LS}(\check{\mathcal{O}}) & \xleftarrow{\text{AC}} & \text{PBP}(\check{\mathcal{O}}) & \longleftrightarrow & \text{Unip}_{\check{\mathcal{O}}}(G) \\ \uparrow \vartheta^{\text{geo}} & & \nabla \downarrow & & \uparrow \theta \\ \text{LS}(\check{\mathcal{O}}') & \xleftarrow{\text{AC}} & \text{PBP}(\check{\mathcal{O}}') & \longleftrightarrow & \text{Unip}_{\check{\mathcal{O}}'}(G') \end{array}$$

$$\pi_\tau := \Theta(\pi_{\nabla(\tau)} \otimes \chi'_\tau) \otimes \chi_\tau$$

- The **injectivity** of theta lifting is crucial!

Unipotent Arthur packet

- **Arthur parameter:** $\psi: W_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^{\vee} \rtimes \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$.

Here $W_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{C} \rtimes \langle j \rangle$.

- Arthur's Arthur packet $\Pi_{\psi}^A(G)$:

{local components of automorphic cusp. repn. }

They are unitary by definition!

- **Unipotent Arthur parameter:** $\psi|_{\mathbb{C}^{\times}}$ is trivial.

Moeglin: $\pi_{\psi, \eta}$ is zero or multiplicity free ($\eta \in \mathrm{Irr}(\pi_1(Z_{\mathbf{G}^{\vee}}(\psi)))$).

Warning: $\Pi_{\psi}^A(G) \cap \Pi_{\psi'}^A(G) \neq \emptyset$ in general.

- “Corollary”:

$$\Pi_{\psi}^A(G) = \Pi_{\psi}^{ABV}(G)$$

- **Question:** How to describe $\pi_{\psi, \eta}$ explicitly?

Dan Barabasch, M. , Binyong Sun and Chen-Bo Zhu
Special unipotent representations: orthogonal and symplectic groups
ArXiv e-prints: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.05552v2>

Thank you for your attention!